

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

Entry of Appearance and Certificate of Interested Parties

JOSHUA STUMP,
Petitioner,
vs.
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, et al.,
Respondents.

Case No. 07-6109

INSTRUCTIONS: COUNSEL FOR A PARTY MUST FORTHWITH EXECUTE AND FILE AN ORIGINAL AND THREE COPIES OF THIS FORM, WITH PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL OTHER PARTIES. MULTIPLE COUNSEL APPEARING FOR A PARTY OR PARTIES AND WHO SHARE THE SAME MAILING ADDRESS MAY ENTER THEIR APPEARANCES ON THE SAME FORM BY EACH SIGNING INDIVIDUALLY.

In accordance with 10th Cir. R. 46.1, the undersigned attorney(s) hereby appear as counsel for

Joshua Stump

Party or Parties

Petitioner

in the subject case(s).

Appellant/Petitioner or Appellee/Respondent

Further, in accordance with 10th Cir. R. 46.1, the undersigned certify(ies) as follows: **(Check one.)**


On the reverse of this form is a completed certificate of interested parties and/or attorneys not otherwise disclosed, who are now or have been interested in this litigation or any related proceeding. (File original and three copies).

There are no such parties, or any such parties have heretofore been disclosed to the court. (File original and three copies.)

Name of Counsel

M. Michael Arnett
Name of Counsel

Signature of Counsel



Signature of Counsel

Mailing Address and Telephone Number

3133 N. W. 63rd Street, Oklahoma City, OK 73116
Mailing Address - Telephone Number (405.767.0522)

I hereby certify that a copy of this Entry of Appearance and Certificate of Interested Parties was mailed on
May 17, 2007 to: Heath Robinson, Assistant Attorney General

See Fed. R. App. P. 25(b))


M. Michael Arnett

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

vs.

Case No.

Certificate of Interested Parties

The following are parties to this litigation, including persons or other entities financially interested in the outcome of the litigation, but not revealed by the caption on appeal, see 10th Cir. R. 46.1(C), and attorneys not entering an appearance in this court who have appeared for any party in prior trial or administrative proceedings sought to be reviewed, or in related proceedings that preceded the subject action in this court:

(Attach additional pages if necessary.)

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

DOCKETING STATEMENT
CASE NO. 07-6109

Case Name: Joshua Stump v. State of Oklahoma, etal.
Court/Agency Appeal From: United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma
Court/Agency Docket No. CIV-05-397-R
District Judge: David L. Russell
Party or Parties filing Notice of Appeal/Petition:
Joshua Stump

I. **TIMELINESS OF APPEAL OR PETITION FOR REVIEW**
A. **APPEAL FROM DISTRICT COURT**

1. Date notice of appeal filed: April 18, 2007, entered: April 18, 2007
- a. Was a motion filed for an extension of time to file the notice of appeal? If so, give the filing date of the motion, the date of any order disposing of the motion, and the deadline for filing notice of appeal: No
- b. Is the United States or an officer or an agency of the United States a party to this appeal? No
2. Authority fixing time limit for filing notice of appeal:
- | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Fed.R.App.4(a)(1)(A) <u>X</u> | Fed.R.App.4(a)(6) <u> </u> |
| Fed.R.App.4(a)(1)(B) <u> </u> | Fed.R.App.4(b)(1) <u> </u> |
| Fed.R.App.4(a)(2) <u> </u> | Fed.R.App.4(b)(3) <u> </u> |
| Fed.R.App.4(a)(3) <u> </u> | Fed.R.App.4(b)(4) <u> </u> |
| Fed.R.App.4(a)(4) <u> </u> | Fed.R.App.4(c) <u> </u> |
| Fed.R.App.4(a)(5) <u> </u> | |
3. Date final judgment or order to be reviewed was filed and **entered** on the district court docket filed: March 21, 2007 entered: March 21, 2007

4. Does the judgment or order to be reviewed dispose of **all** claims by and against **all** parties? See Fed.R.Civ.P.54(b). Yes

(If the order being appealed is not final, please answer the following questions in this section.)

- a. If not, did district court direct entry of judgment in accordance with Fed.R.Div.P.54(b)? When was this done? N/A
- b. If the judgment or order if not a final disposition, is it appealable under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)? N/A
- c. If none of the above applies, what is the **specific** statutory basis for determining that the judgment or order is appealable? N/A
5. Tolling Motions. See Fed.R.App.P.4(a)(4)(A);4(b)(3)(A).
- a. Give the filing date of any motion under Fed.R.Civ.P.50(b), 52(b),59,60, including any motion for reconsideration, and any motion for judgment of acquittal for arrest of judgment or for new trial, filed in the district court:
N/A
- b. Has an order been entered by the district court disposing of that motion, and, if so, when? N/A
6. Bankruptcy Appeals. (To be completed only in appeals from a judgment, order or decree of a district court in a bankruptcy case or from an order of the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel.)Are there assets of the debtor subject to administration by a district or bankruptcy court?
N/A
- a. Please state the approximate amount of such assets, if known N/A
- B. **REVIEW OF AGENCY ORDER** (To be completed only in connection with petitions for review or applications for enforcement filed directly with the Court of Appeals.)
1. Date petition for review was filed: N/A
2. Date of the order to be reviewed: N/A
3. Specify the statute or other authority granting the court of appeals jurisdiction to review the order: N/A

4. Specify the time limit for filing the petition (cite specific statutory section or other authority): N/A

C. APPEAL OF TAX COURT DECISION

1. Date notice of appeal was filed: N/A
2. Time limit for filing notice of appeal: N/A
3. Date of entry of decision appealed: N/A
4. Was a timely motion to vacate or revise a decision made under the Tax Court's Rules of Practice, and if so, when? *See* Fed.R.App.P. 13(a) N/A

II. LIST ALL RELATED OR PRIOR RELATED APPEALS IN THIS COURT WITH APPROPRIATE CITATION(S). There was a prior appeal filed under Case No. 05-6325, which was remanded to the Western District for further proceedings on July 6, 2006.

III. GIVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF NATURE OF ACTION AND RESULT BELOW.

This is a habeas corpus action filed by a state prisoner seeking relief pursuant to Section 2254. In Petitioner's first denial, the United States Magistrate recommended that the writ be denied because of its untimely filing, and the U.S. District Judge agreed. Petitioner appealed this denial to this Court, a Certificate of Appealability was granted and supplemental briefing was ordered. The State filed a request for reconsideration of the granting of the Certificate of Appealability and also requested that the case be remanded to district court for further proceedings, which was done on July 6, 2006. The State in their response and brief in support once again asked for a dismissal of the case as time-barred, the Magistrate issued a Report and Recommendation recommending dismissal, the U.S. District Judge agreed. Therefore, this new appeal was perfected.

IV. ISSUE RAISED ON APPEAL.

- 1) In his habeas petition filed in the district court, Mr. Stump raised ineffective assistance of trial counsel stating that he entered a guilty plea that was neither voluntary or knowing. He alleges he was led to believe that by him pleading guilty to a negotiated plea he would only have to serve seven to nine years in prison. Mr. Stump is still incarcerated and the district court once again denied his habeas petition as time-barred.

V. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN CRIMINAL APPEALS.

- A. Does this appeal involve review under 18 U.S.C. §3742(a) or (b) of the sentence imposed? N/A
- B. If the answer to question in B is yes, does the defendant also challenge the judgment of conviction?
N/A
- C. Describe the sentence imposed: N/A
- D. Was the sentence imposed after a plea of guilty? N/A
- E. Is defendant on probation or at liberty pending appeal? N/A

NOTE: In the event expedited review is requested, the defendant shall consider whether a transcript of any portion of the trial court proceedings is necessary for the appeal. Necessary transcripts must be ordered at the time of appeal by completing and delivering the transcript order form to the clerk of the district court when a notice of appeal is filed. Defendant/appellant must refrain from ordering any unnecessary transcript as this will delay the appeal. If the court orders this appeal expedited, it will set a schedule for preparation of necessary transcripts, for designation and preparation of the record on appeal, and for filing briefs. If issues other than sentencing are raised by this appeal, the court will decide whether bifurcation is desirable.

VI. INDICATE WHETHER ORAL ARGUMENT IS DESIRED IN THIS APPEAL.
If so, please state why.

Oral argument is not specifically requested at this time; however, should the Court deem it necessary, counsel will be willing to argue before this Honorable Court.

VII. ATTORNEY FILING DOCKETING STATEMENT:

Name: **M. Michael Arnett** Telephone: **405.767.0522**
Attorney at Law Fax: **405.767.0529**

Address: 3133 N. W. 63rd St.
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73116

Email: alfrachel@coxinet.net

A. PLEASE IDENTIFY ON WHOSE BEHALF THE DOCKETING STATEMENT IS FILED:

- Appellant
 Petitioner
 Cross-Appellant

B. PLEASE IDENTIFY WHETHER THE FILING COUNSEL IS

- Retained Attorney
 Court-Appointed
 Employed by a government entity
(please specify)
 Employed by the Office of the Federal Public Defender


M. MICHAEL ARNETT

May 17, 2007
Date

NOTE: A copy of the court or agency docket sheet, the final judgment or order appealed from, any pertinent findings and conclusions, opinions, or orders, any motion filed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 50(b), 52(b), 59, or 60, including any motion for reconsideration, for judgment of acquittal, for arrest of judgment, or for new trial, and the dispositive order(s), any motion for extension of time to file notice of appeal and the dispositive order, and the notice of appeal or petition for review **must be attached to all copies of the Docketing Statement**, except as otherwise provided in Section I of the instructions.

The original and four copies of this Docketing Statement must be filed.

This Docketing Statement must be accompanied by proof of service.


The following Certificate of Service may be used.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, M. Michael Arnett, attorney for Petitioner Joshua Stump, hereby certify that on May 17, 2007, I sent a copy of the foregoing **Docketing Statement** to:

Heath T. Robinson, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
313 N.E. 21st St.
Oklahoma City, OK 73105

by way of United States mail, postage prepaid.



M. MICHAEL ARNETT, OBA #12071
ARNETT LAW FIRM
3133 N. W. 63rd St.
Oklahoma City, OK 73116
Telephone: 405.767.0522
Fax: 405.767.0529
Email: alfrachel@coxinct.net

87BD, APPEAL, CLOSED, REOPEN, _SR

**U.S. District Court
Western District of Oklahoma[LIVE] (Oklahoma City)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 5:05-cv-00397-R**

Stump v. Oklahoma State of et al
Assigned to: Honorable David L. Russell
Case in other court: 05-06325
07-06109

Cause: 28:2254 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (State)

Date Filed: 04/08/2005
Date Terminated: 03/21/2007
Jury Demand: None
Nature of Suit: 530 Habeas Corpus
(General)
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Petitioner

Joshua Stump

represented by **M Michael Arnett**
Arnett Law Firm
3133 NW 63rd St
Oklahoma City, OK 73106
405-767-0522
Fax: 405-767-0529
Email: mikearnett1@juno.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Respondent

Oklahoma State of

Respondent

**Oklahoma Department of
Corrections**

Respondent

Warden Lenora Jordan

represented by **Heath T Robinson**
Attorney General's Ofc-OKC
313 NE 21st St
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
405-521-3921
Fax: 405-522-4534
Email: fhc.docket@oag.state.ok.us
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
04/08/2005	1	PETITION for Writ of Habeas Corpus , filed by Joshua Stump.(db,) (Entered: 04/11/2005)

04/08/2005	<u>2</u>	Previous cases (db.) (Entered: 04/11/2005)
04/11/2005	<u>3</u>	ORDER REFERRING CASE to Magistrate Judge Bana Roberts. Signed by Judge David L. Russell on 4/11/05. (ns,) (Entered: 04/11/2005)
04/13/2005	<u>4</u>	ORDER To Cure Deficiency re <u>1</u> Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed by Joshua Stump. Petitioner has neither paid the filing fee nor submitted a mtn for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Petitioner is ordered to cure the deficiencies by 5/3/05. Signed by Judge Bana Roberts on 4/13/05. (kab,) (Entered: 04/13/2005)
05/02/2005	<u>5</u>	Receipt for Money Received from Petitioner Joshua Stump in the amount of \$5.00, receipt number #110225 regarding <u>1</u> Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (kw,) (Entered: 05/06/2005)
05/06/2005	<u>6</u>	ORDER The Court is in receipt of the petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed by Petitioner pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2254. As it appears from the face of the petition that it is untimely filed under 28 U.S.C. 2244(d)(1), Petitioner is directed to show cause by the 26th day of May, 2005, why the petition should not be dismissed as untimely. It is so ordered this 6th day of May, 2005. re <u>1</u> Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed by Joshua Stump, . Signed by Judge Bana Roberts on 5/6/05. (sr,) (Entered: 05/06/2005)
05/26/2005	<u>7</u>	MOTION for Order to Show Cause <i>Why Writ Should Not Be Dismissed as Untimely</i> by Joshua Stump. (Arnett, M) (Entered: 05/26/2005)
06/09/2005	<u>8</u>	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION: It is the recommendation of the undersigned Magistrate Judge that the petition for writ of habeas corpus be dismissed upon filing as time-barred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 2244(d)(1)(A). Petitioner is advised of his right to object to this Report and Recommendation by the 29th of June, 2005, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. Section 636 and Local Civil Rule 72.1. Petitioner must file any objections with the Clerk of this Court. Petitioner is further advised that failure to make timely objection to this Report and Recommendation waives any right to appellate review of both factual and legal issues contained herein. Moore v. United States, 950 F.2d 656 (10th Cir. 1991). This Report and Recommendation disposes of all issues referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge in the captioned matter. ENTERED this 9th day of June, 2005. Objections to R&R due by 6/29/2005. Signed by Judge Bana Roberts on 6/9/2005. (bc,) (Entered: 06/09/2005)
06/29/2005	<u>9</u>	OBJECTION TO REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION <u>8</u> filed by Joshua Stump. (Arnett, M) (Entered: 06/29/2005)
07/01/2005	<u>10</u>	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION for <u>8</u> Report and Recommendation, . Signed by Judge David L. Russell on 7/1/05. (kw,) (Entered: 07/01/2005)
07/01/2005	<u>11</u>	JUDGMENT in favor of defendant against plaintiff. Signed by Judge David L. Russell on 7/1/05. (kw,) (Entered: 07/01/2005)
08/30/2005	<u>12</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>File Notice of Appeal</i> by Joshua

		Stump. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Attachment Notice of Appeal)(Arnett, M) (Entered: 08/30/2005)
09/21/2005	<u>13</u>	ORDER granting <u>12</u> Motion for Extension of Time to File Notice of Appeal. Signed by Judge David L. Russell on 9/21/05. (ns,) (Entered: 09/21/2005)
09/30/2005	<u>14</u>	NOTICE by Joshua Stump of <i>Appeal</i> (Arnett, M) (Entered: 09/30/2005)
10/03/2005	<u>15</u>	NOTICE OF APPEAL as to <u>11</u> Judgment by Joshua Stump. (Arnett, M) (Entered: 10/03/2005)
10/03/2005	<u>16</u>	Transmission of Notice of Appeal and Docket Sheet to US Court of Appeals re <u>15</u> Notice of Appeal (dl,) (Entered: 10/03/2005)
10/06/2005	<u>17</u>	TRANSCRIPT Order Form by Joshua Stump that transcripts are not necessary. See order form for dates and proceedings. (Arnett, M) (Entered: 10/06/2005)
10/06/2005	<u>18</u>	CERTIFICATE. (Arnett, M) (Entered: 10/06/2005)
10/06/2005	<u>20</u>	USCA Appeal Fee received in the amount of \$ 255, receipt number 112848, re <u>15</u> Notice of Appeal filed by Joshua Stump, (dl,) (Entered: 10/07/2005)
10/07/2005		Docket Annotation: Document <u>18</u> is stricken. This is a motion. Counsel shall refile the motion using "motion" and choosing "certificate of appealability" from the pick list. (kw,) (Entered: 10/07/2005)
10/07/2005	<u>19</u>	MOTION for Certificate of Appealability by Joshua Stump. (Arnett, M) (Entered: 10/07/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>21</u>	USCA Case Number 05-6325 for <u>15</u> Notice of Appeal filed by Joshua Stump., (dl,) (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>22</u>	ORDER denying Petitioner's <u>19</u> Motion for Certificate of Appealability . Signed by Judge David L. Russell on 10/11/05. (ns,) (Entered: 10/11/2005)
12/08/2005	<u>23</u>	TRANSCRIPT LETTER advising that no transcripts are necessary re <u>15</u> Notice of Appeal filed by Joshua Stump,. The record is ready for appeal purposes. (dl,) (Entered: 12/08/2005)
07/12/2006	<u>24</u>	MANDATE From USCA - The "Respndent/Appellee's Motion for Reconsideration of Certificate of appealability and Briefing In This Case" is GRANTED. We VACATE our order of May 19, 2006 granting a certificate of appealability and directing supplemental briefing. The petitioner's request for a COA, captioned in this court as Case No. 05-6325, Sumpt v. State of Oklahoma, is DISMISSED. The case is REMANDED to the district court for further proceedings <u>15</u> Notice of Appeal filed by Joshua Stump, (dl,) (Entered: 07/12/2006)
07/21/2006	<u>25</u>	ORDER REFERRING CASE to Magistrate Judge Bana Roberts. Signed by Judge David L. Russell on 7/21/06. (ns,) (Entered: 07/21/2006)

07/31/2006	<u>26</u>	ORDER for Response by OK Attorney General to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus by a Person in State Custody, Pursuant to 28 USC Section 2254. Signed by Judge Bana Roberts on 7/31/2006. (bc,) (Entered: 07/31/2006)
08/09/2006	<u>27</u>	ENTRY of Appearance by Heath T Robinson on behalf of Lenora Jordan (Robinson, Heath) (Entered: 08/09/2006)
08/09/2006	<u>28</u>	MOTION to Dismiss <i>Habeas Corpus Petition as Time-Barred by the Statute of Limitations</i> by Lenora Jordan. (Robinson, Heath) (Entered: 08/09/2006)
08/09/2006	<u>29</u>	BRIEF IN SUPPORT re <u>28</u> MOTION to Dismiss <i>Habeas Corpus Petition as Time-Barred by the Statute of Limitations</i> by Lenora Jordan. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 1 - Adjustment Review, 10-18-96# <u>2</u> Exhibit 2 - Notification of Parole Consideration Date, 5-23-96# <u>3</u> Exhibit 3 - Adjustment Review, 11-23-98# <u>4</u> Exhibit 4 - Notification of Parole Consideration Date, 3-17-98# <u>5</u> Exhibit 5 - Adjustment Review, 5-19-99# <u>6</u> Exhibit 6 - Smith v. Parker, unpublished opinion# <u>7</u> Exhibit 7 - Berry v. Oklahoma, unpublished opinion# <u>8</u> Exhibit 8 - Affidavit of Jim Rabon# <u>9</u> Exhibit 9 - OSCN Docket CF-95-104, Lincoln Cty# <u>10</u> Exhibit 10 - CCA, PC-04-334, Order Affirming Denial of PC Relief# <u>11</u> Exhibit 11 - CCA, MA-04-334, Order Dismissing Motion for Reconsideration) (Robinson, Heath) (Entered: 08/09/2006)
08/24/2006	<u>30</u>	UNOPPOSED MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>File Reply to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss</i> by Joshua Stump. (Arnett, M) (Entered: 08/24/2006)
08/25/2006	<u>31</u>	ORDER granting <u>30</u> Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Reply. Petitioner shall file his reply on or before September 26, 2006. Signed by Judge Bana Roberts on 8/25/2006. (bc,) (Entered: 08/25/2006)
09/08/2006	<u>32</u>	NOTICE of Change of Address by M Michael Arnett (Arnett, M) (Entered: 09/08/2006)
09/26/2006	<u>33</u>	UNOPPOSED MOTION for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply as to <u>28</u> MOTION to Dismiss <i>Habeas Corpus Petition as Time-Barred by the Statute of Limitations</i> by Joshua Stump. (Arnett, M) (Entered: 09/26/2006)
09/29/2006	<u>34</u>	ORDER granting <u>33</u> Motion for Extension of Time to File Reply. Petitioner shall file his reply by 10/26/2006. Signed by Judge Bana Roberts on 9/29/2006. (bc,) (Entered: 09/29/2006)
10/16/2006	<u>35</u>	NOTICE of Change of Address by Heath T Robinson (Robinson, Heath) (Entered: 10/16/2006)
10/26/2006	<u>36</u>	NOTICE of Change of Address by M Michael Arnett (Arnett, M) (Entered: 10/26/2006)
10/26/2006	<u>37</u>	REPLY to Response to Motion re <u>28</u> MOTION to Dismiss <i>Habeas Corpus Petition as Time-Barred by the Statute of Limitations</i> filed by Joshua Stump. (Arnett, M) (Entered: 10/26/2006)

02/28/2007	<u>38</u>	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION re 1 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed by Joshua Stump. <u>28</u> MOTION to Dismiss <i>Habeas Corpus Petition as Time-Barred by the Statute of Limitations</i> filed by Lenora Jordan. It is recommended that the Motion to Dismiss be granted and the petition for writ of habeas corpus be dismissed. Objections to R&R due by 3/20/2007. This R&R disposes of all issues referred. Signed by Judge Bana Roberts on 2/28/2007. (bc,) (Entered: 02/28/2007)
03/20/2007	<u>39</u>	OBJECTION TO REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION <u>38</u> of the <i>Magistrate</i> filed by Joshua Stump. (Arnett, M) (Entered: 03/20/2007)
03/21/2007	<u>40</u>	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION for <u>38</u> Report and Recommendation in its entirety and the petition of Joshua Stump for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 USC 2254 is dismissed as time-barred pursuant to 28 USC 2244(d). Signed by Judge David L. Russell on 3/21/07. (kw,) (Entered: 03/21/2007)
03/21/2007	<u>41</u>	JUDGMENT in favor of Oklahoma Department of Corrections, Oklahoma State of, Lenora Jordan against Joshua Stump. Signed by Judge David L. Russell on 3/21/07. (kw,) (Entered: 03/21/2007)
04/18/2007	<u>42</u>	NOTICE OF APPEAL by Joshua Stump. (Arnett, M) (Entered: 04/18/2007)
04/18/2007	<u>43</u>	TRANSCRIPT Order Form by Joshua Stump that transcripts are not necessary. See order form for dates and proceedings. (Arnett, M) (Entered: 04/18/2007)
04/19/2007	<u>44</u>	Transmission of Notice of Appeal and Docket Sheet to US Court of Appeals re <u>42</u> Notice of Appeal (dl,) (Entered: 04/19/2007)
04/19/2007	<u>45</u>	USCA Appeal Fee received in the amount of \$ 455, receipt number 121630, re <u>42</u> Notice of Appeal filed by Joshua Stump (dl,) (Entered: 04/19/2007)
05/10/2007	<u>46</u>	USCA Case Number 07-6109 for <u>42</u> Notice of Appeal filed by Joshua Stump. (dl,) (Entered: 05/10/2007)

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
05/17/2007 14:19:13			
PACER Login:	ar1388	Client Code:	
Description:	Docket Report	Search Criteria:	5:05-cv-00397-R Start date: 1/1/1970 End date: 5/17/2007
Billable Pages:	3	Cost:	0.24